Exact solution to neutrino-plasma two-flavor dynamics

F. Haas¹ and J. T. Mendonça²

¹Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná, 81531-990, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil ²IPFN, Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

Abstract

It is shown that the two-flavor neutrino oscillation equations admit an exact analytic solution for arbitrarily chosen normalized electron neutrino population, provided the electron plasma density is adjusted in a certain way. The associated formula for the electron plasma density is applied to the cases of exponentially decaying or oscillating electron neutrino populations.

PACS numbers: 13.15.+g, 52.35.Ra, 95.30.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

The energy exchange between neutrino beams and plasma collective modes can be a crucial mechanism e.g. for shocks in type II supernovae [1]. The associated neutrino charge coupling [2] leads to kinetic effects such as neutrino Landau damping [3], as well as to the generation of quasi-static magnetic fields [4] The orthodox approach to the neutrino-plasma interaction problem is to assume specific medium properties, and then to solve the dynamical equations, either in approximate or numerical forms. In this respect, one can have sinusoidal variations of the electron density [5], [6], [7], [8], general time-dependent media [9], stochastic backgrounds [10], [11] as well as instabilities due to electron density ripples [12]. In an inverse way, in the present work a certain electron density profile is assumed, and then the corresponding medium properties are unveiled. The procedure is restricted to two-flavor neutrino populations. No further approximations are needed.

The work is organized as follows. Section II describes the general method, leading to Eq. (8), the central result of the paper. Section III briefly discuss the cases of exponentially decaying or oscillating electron neutrino populations. Section IV is reserved to final remarks.

II. EXACT SOLUTION

The equations for neutrino-flavor oscillations in a plasma are well known [13] and we present them in the form

$$\dot{P}_1 = -\Omega(t)P_2, \quad \dot{P}_2 = \Omega(t)P_1 - \Omega_0 P_3, \quad \dot{P}_3 = \Omega_0 P_2,$$
(1)

where $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ is the three-dimensional flavor polarization vector, such that the density matrix can be written as

$$\rho = \frac{N_0}{2} (1 + \mathbf{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \qquad (2)$$

using the total neutrino number $N_0 = N_e + N_\mu$ and the Pauli matrices $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$, with $N_{e,\mu}$ being the electron (muon) neutrino populations. In Eq. (1),

$$\Omega(t) = \omega_0(\cos 2\theta_0 - \xi(t)), \quad \Omega_0 = \omega_0 \sin 2\theta_0, \qquad (3)$$

where we have introduced the characteristic oscillation frequency $\omega_0 = \Delta m^2/2E$, with $\Delta m^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2$ being the square mass difference between mass eigenstates and E the

energy associated to the neutrino Dirac spinor, while θ_0 is the pertinent mixing angle. Finally, we have $\xi(t) = \sqrt{2}G_F n_e/\omega_0$ being the coupling function between the neutrino and the embedding plasma medium, where G_F is the Fermi constant and n_e the electron plasma density. In our analysis, it is important to keep in mind that $P_3 = (N_e - N_\mu)/N_0$.

From the first and the last equations in Eq. (1) we get

$$\Omega = -\frac{\dot{P}_1}{P_2}, \quad P_2 = \frac{\dot{P}_3}{\Omega_0}.$$
 (4)

Substituting the results shown in Eq. (4) into the mid equality in Eq. (1) and integrating once yields

$$I = \dot{P}_3^2 + \Omega_0^2 (P_3^2 + P_1^2) = \Omega_0^2, \qquad (5)$$

where I is a constant of motion, dI/dt = 0. The last equality in Eq. (5) follows from $\dot{P}_3 = \Omega_0 P_2$ and the normalization condition, $|\mathbf{P}| = 1$. Our central result comes from the fact that Eq. (5) can be solved up to a sign choice for P_1 in terms of P_3 , or

$$P_1 = \pm \frac{(\Omega_0^2 - \dot{P}_3^2 - \Omega_0^2 P_3^2)^{1/2}}{\Omega_0}.$$
 (6)

Correspondingly, using Eqs. (4) and (6) we find

$$\Omega = \pm \frac{\ddot{P}_3 + \Omega_0^2 P_3}{(\Omega_0^2 - \dot{P}_3^2 - \Omega_0^2 P_3^2)^{1/2}}.$$
(7)

Therefore, we have a very simple recipe to generate exact solutions for the two-flavor neutrino-plasma oscillation equations. Instead of prescribing a given plasma density n_e as usual, one can start choosing P_3 , which is interpreted as the normalized difference between neutrino flavor populations. Afterward, Eqs. (6) and the last in Eq. (4) gives resp. the coherences P_1 and P_2 . Finally, Eq. (7) gives the corresponding Ω , which is linked to the plasma medium properties. To have meaningful solutions at least some requirements should be taken into account, namely $|P_3| \leq 1$, otherwise one would eventually get negative flavor populations. In addition, P_3 should be a double-differentiable function of time.

Alternatively, we can use $P_3 = 2N_e/N_0 - 1$ to express the results in terms of the electron neutrino population. From Eqs. (4), (6) and (7) we get

$$P_1 = \pm 2 \left(\bar{N}_e - \bar{N}_e^2 - \frac{\dot{\bar{N}}_e^2}{\Omega_0^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad P_2 = \frac{2\dot{\bar{N}}_e}{\Omega_0}, \quad \Omega = \pm \frac{\ddot{\bar{N}}_e + \Omega_0^2(\bar{N}_e - 1/2)}{\Omega_0 \left(\bar{N}_e - \bar{N}_e^2 - \dot{\bar{N}}_e^2/\Omega_0^2 \right)^{1/2}}, \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{N}_e \equiv N_e/N_0$. The results in Eq. (8) compactly represents the basic findings of this work.



FIG. 1: Polarization vector components for an exponentially decaying electron neutrino population, according to Eqs. (8) and (9). Parameters, $\omega_0 = 1, r_0 = 1, \sin^2 2\theta_0 = 0.15, \ \bar{N}_e(t_0) \exp(t_0/r_0) = 0.13.$

III. APPLICATIONS

A. Exponentially decaying electron neutrino population

As a first example, consider the case of an exponentially decaying electron neutrino population,

$$\bar{N}_e = \bar{N}_e(t_0) \exp\left(-\frac{t-t_0}{r_0}\right) \,, \tag{9}$$

which models the change of the electron number density along the path of the solar neutrinos moving radially from the central region to the surface of the Sun [14], [15]. In this context r_0 is the scale height and $t-t_0$ is the distance traveled by the neutrinos. To have meaningful solutions from Eq. (8) (or, real $P_{1,2,3}$) one should have $\bar{N}_e(t_0) \exp(t_0/r_0) > (1 + 1/\Omega_0^2 r_0^2)^{-1}$, as can be readily verified. We use stretched time and space variables so that $\omega_0 = 1, r_0 = 1$. Moreover, the mixing angle satisfy $\sin^2 2\theta_0 = 0.15$, so that $\Omega_0 = 0.39$. Finally, we chose $\bar{N}_e(t_0) \exp(t_0/r_0) = 0.13$, which assures the produced solutions to be non-complex. The resulting polarization vector components are shown in Fig. 1, while $\Omega(t)$ is shown in Fig. 2, with the plus sign chosen in Eq. (8). It can be shown that in this case one has the asymptotic dependence $\Omega \propto -\Omega_0 \exp(t/2r_0)$ when $t \to \infty$. Evidently, an infinite class of profiles can be generated via the same procedure. One can e.g. consider the case of an oscillating electron neutrino population, discussed in the following.



FIG. 2: Function $\Omega(t)$ for an exponentially decaying electron neutrino population, according to Eqs. (8) and (9) and the same parameters as in Fig. 1.



FIG. 3: Polarization vector components for an oscillating electron neutrino population, according to Eqs. (8) and (10). Parameters, $\Omega_0 = 0.39$, $\varepsilon = 0.39$, $\tilde{\Omega} = 1.0$.

B. Periodic electron density

Now consider an initially unpolarized electron neutrino beam,

$$\bar{N}_e = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sin \tilde{\Omega} t \,, \tag{10}$$

including an amplitude parameter $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and an arbitrary frequency $\tilde{\Omega}$. A simple analysis shows that $\varepsilon < \text{Inf}(1, \Omega_0/\tilde{\Omega})$ is the condition to avoid singularities. The corresponding polarization vector components and $\Omega(t)$ function are shown resp. in Figs. 3 and 4, for $\Omega_0 = 0.39$ as before and for $\varepsilon = 0.39, \tilde{\Omega} = 1.0$.



FIG. 4: Function $\Omega(t)$ for an oscillating electron neutrino population, according to Eqs. (8) and (10) and the same parameters as in Fig. 3.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work the usual route for solving the two-flavor neutrino-plasma oscillation equations has been subverted. Namely, instead of setting a certain electron plasma density and then looking for the polarization vector components, here the third component $P_3(t)$ and equivalently the electron neutrino population $N_e(t)$ are chosen *ab initio*. Consequently, simple formulas for the coherences $P_{1,2}(t)$ are readily found. The necessary condition for the recipe to work is to adjust the function $\Omega(t)$ and hence the electron plasma density $n_e(t)$ so that Eq. (7) holds. The results can be expressed in terms of the electron neutrino population only, see Eq. (8). In a sense, our exact neutrino flavor solution has similarities with the celebrated Bernstein-Greene-Kruskal equilibria for the Vlasov-Poisson system [16], where arbitrarily chosen electrostatic potentials can be constructed provided specific trapped electron distributions are set.

Acknowledgments

Fernando Haas acknowledge CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) for financial support. This work is dedicated to the memory of Prof. Padma Kant Shukla.

R. Bingham, L. O. Silva, J. T. Mendonça, P. K. Shukla, W. B. Mori and A. Serbeto, Neutrino plasma coupling in dense astrophysical plasmas. Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 46, B327–B334

(2004).

- [2] A. Serbeto, L. A. Rios, J. T. Mendonça and P. K. Shukla, Neutrino (antineutrino) effective charge in a magnetized electron-positron plasma. Phys. Plasmas 11, 1352–1357 (2004).
- [3] L. O. Silva, R. Bingham, J. M. Dawson, J. T. Mendonça and P. K. Shukla, Neutrino driven streaming instabilities in a dense plasma. Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2703–2706 (1999).
- [4] P. K. Shukla, R. Bingham, J. T. Mendonça and L. Stenflo, Neutrinos generating inhomogeneities and magnetic fields in the early universe. Phys. Plasmas 5, 2815–2817 (1998).
- [5] J. P. Kneller, G. C. McLaughlin and K. M. Patton, Stimulated neutrino transformation with sinusoidal density profiles. J. Phys. G.: Nucl. Part. Phys. 40, 055002 (2013).
- [6] M. Koike, T. Ota, M. Saito and J. Satoa, Fourier analysis of the parametric resonance in neutrino oscillations. Phys. Lett. B 675, 69–72 (2009).
- [7] P. I. Krastev and A. Y. Smirnov, Parametric effects in neutrino oscillations. Phys. Lett. B 226, 341–346 (1989).
- [8] A. Schafer and S. E. Koonin, Influence of density fluctuations on solar neutrino conversion.
 Phys. Lett. B 185, 417–420 (1987).
- [9] S. Hollenberg and H. Päs, Adiabatic and nonadiabatic perturbation theory for coherence vector description of neutrino oscillations. Phys. Rev. D 85, 013013 (2012).
- [10] E. Torrente-Lujan, Finite dimensional systems with random external fields and neutrino propagation in fluctuating media. Phys. Rev. D 59, 073001 (1999).
- [11] F. Benatti and R. Floreanini, Dissipative neutrino oscillations in randomly fluctuating matter. Phys. Rev. D 71, 013003 (2005).
- [12] P. K. Shukla, Amplification of neutrino oscillations by a density ripple in dense plasmas. J. Plasma Phys. 77, 289–291 (2011).
- [13] G. G. Raffelt, Stars as Laboratories for Fundamental Physics. (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1996).
- [14] S. T. Petkov, Exact analytic description of two-neutrino oscillations in matter with exponentially varying density. Phys. Lett. B 200, 373–379 (1988).
- [15] S. T. Petkov, Describing analytically the matter-enhanced two-neutrino transitions in a medium. Phys. Lett. B 406, 355–365 (19997).
- [16] I. B. Bernstein, J. M. Greene and M. D. Kruskal, Exact nonlinear plasma oscillations. Phys. Rev. 1087, 546–550 (1957).